

# Serbia stomps on Rio Tinto's lithium mining project

By Binoy Kampmark

29 January 2022



***The Serbian Government has bowed to protests and cancelled a multi-billion dollar Rio Tinto mining project, writes Dr [Binoy Kampmark](#).***

ON THE FACE OF IT, there seems to be little in the way of connection between the treatment of [Novak Djokovic](#) by Australian authorities and the cooling of the [Serbian Government](#) towards [Rio Tinto](#). The Anglo-Australian mining giant was confident that it would, at least eventually, win out in gaining the permissions to commence work on its U.S.\$2.4 billion (AU\$3.4 billion) lithium-borates mine in the Jadar Valley.

In 2021, Rio Tinto [stated](#):

*'The Jadar project would scale up Rio Tinto's exposure to battery materials and demonstrate the company's commitment to investing capital in a disciplined manner to further strengthen its portfolio for the global*

*energy transition.'*

The road had been a bit bumpy, including a growing environmental movement determined to scuttle the project. But the ruling coalition, led by the [Serbian Progressive Party](#), had resisted going wobbly on the issue.

Then came the maligning of the world number one tennis player in Australia. Djokovic had been tormented by a brief spell of confinement in quarters normally reserved for refugees kept in indefinite detention and eventually defeated in the Full Court of the Federal Court.

During the course of events, he saw his visa cancelled twice, first by a member of the [Australian Border Force](#), the next time by Immigration Minister [Alex Hawke](#). Along the way, lynch mobs were thrilled that "Novaxx" Djokovic, that great threat to Australia's vaccinated innocence, was finally on a flight home.

The Serbian Government attempted to intervene. President [Aleksandar Vučić](#) made a plea to the Morrison Government to [resist cancelling](#) Djokovic's visa; the Australian Open was the Serbian tennis player's favourite tournament, one he had won numerous times.

A diplomatic incident, more murmur than bark, was sparked. "In line with all standards of international public law, Serbia will fight for Novak Djokovic," [promised](#) the Serbian President. But for an Australian Government that has flouted international law and

fetishised border control, the call mattered little.

In Serbia, Rio Tinto then faced a rude shock. The Vučić Government, having praised the potential of the Jadar project for some years, abruptly abandoned it.

Serbian Prime Minister [Ana Brnabić](#) stated flatly on 20 January:

*“All decisions [connected to the lithium project] and all licenses have been annulled. As far as project Jadar is concerned, this is an end.”*

Brnabić insisted, somewhat disingenuously, that this decision merely acknowledged the will of voters:

*“We are listening to our people and it is our job to protect their interests even when we think differently.”*

This is a bit rich coming from a government hostile to industry accountability and investment transparency. The same government also [decided to begin](#) infrastructure works on the jadarite mine before the granting of an exploitation permit.

Such behaviour has left advocates such as [Savo Manojlović](#) of the NGO Kreni-Promeni [wondering](#) why Rio Tinto was singled out over, for instance, [Eurolithium](#), which was permitted to dig in the environs of Valjevo in western Serbia.

[Zorana Mihajlović](#), Serbia’s Mining and Energy Minister, [preferred to blame](#) the environmental movement, though the alibi seemed a bit forced:

*“The Government showed it wanted the dialogue... [and] attempts to use ecology for*

*political purposes demonstrate [green groups] care nothing about the lives of the people, nor the industrial development.”*

Rio Tinto had been facing an impressive grassroots militia, mobilised to remind Serbians about the devastating implications of proposed lithium mining operations. The [Ne Damo Jadar](#) (We won’t let anyone take Jadar) group has unerringly focused attention on the secret agreements reached between the mining company and Belgrade.

[Zlatko Kokanović](#), vice president of the group, is convinced that the mine would:

(@CristinaNcl) [January 28, 2022](#)

*'...not only threaten one of Serbia's oldest and most important archaeological sites, it will also endanger several protected bird species, pond terrapins and fire salamander, which would otherwise be protected by EU directives.'*

Taking issue with the unflattering environmental record of the Anglo-Australian company, numerous protests were organised and petitions launched, [including one](#) that has received 292,571 signatures. Last month, activists organised gatherings and marches across the country, including road blockades.

Djokovic has not been immune to the growing green movement, if only to lend a few words of support.

In a December Instagram story post featuring a picture of anti-mining protests, he [declared](#) that:

*'Clean air, water and food are the keys to health. Without it, every word about health is redundant.'*

Rio Tinto's response to the critics was that of the seductive guest keen to impress: we have gifts for the governors, the rulers and the parliamentarians. Give us permission to dig and we will make you the envy of Europe, green and environmentally sound ambassadors of the electric battery and car revolution.

Serbia's revenge: Rio Tinto pays for Morrison's hubris

The disaster that was Scott Morrison's handling of the Novak situation, coupled with Alex Hawke's little foray into ideological despotism, left the political leadership of Serbia fuming. <https://t.co/obcoKX1pMe>

— C Kristjánsdóttir ● [?][?]

The [European Battery Alliance](#), a group of electric vehicle supply chain companies, [is adamant](#) that the Jadar project “constituted an important share of potential European domestic supply”. The mine would have “contributed to support the growth of a nascent industrial battery-related ecosystem in Serbia, contributing to a substantial amount to Serbia's annual GDP”. Assiduously selective, the group preferred to ignore the thorny environmental implications of the venture.

The options facing the mining giant vary, none of which would appeal to the board.

In a statement, the company [claimed](#) that it was:

*“...reviewing the legal basis of this decision and the implications for our activities and our people in Serbia.”*

It might bullishly seek to sue Belgrade, a move that is unlikely to do improve an already worn reputation. “For a major mining company to sue a state is very unusual,” [suggests Peter Leon](#) of law firm Herbert Smith Freehills. “A claim under the bilateral treaty is always a last resort, but not a first resort.”

Another option for punters within the company will be a political gamble: hoping that April's parliamentary elections will usher in a bevy of promising representatives. By then, public antagonism against matters Australian will have dimmed. The Serbian ecological movement, however, is

unlikely to ease its campaign.

The age of mining impunity in the face of popular protest has come to an end.

***Dr [Binoy Kampmark](#) was a Cambridge Scholar and is an Independent Australia columnist and lecturer at [RMIT University](#). You can follow Dr Kampmark on Twitter [@BKampmark](#).***